P.J 282-92.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92
192 .
(L.R)

File o. 203.

Serial Nos. 1-17

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-17

Part B for April 98.

SUBJECT.

Suspension of Subbanna, Shanbhogue of Beechanhally Heggaddevankotte Taluk.

Sc. No. 17 To the Deputy Commissioner
No. 10176 dated 25-4-98.
P.J 282-92

Forwards copy of Endorsement granted to Subbannah in connection with his appeal.

Remarked Mk.

Indexed Mk.

WD 2238—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

8/

Memo

Minutes
Council

yr
15/8/92

14

Two Rupees
Court stamp

M/s [illegible] E

1

4183
14-3-92

"By order"

for Secy

Ill 22/4/98 No. 1017b. dt 25/4/98
P.7282-92 /26/

Copy of the above is for-
warded to the Dy Comr
Mysore Dist for informa-
tion together with the + papers
received with his letter No 1137
dated 30th March 1898.

"By order"

for Secy

Ill "Dft"

+ ಇದರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಿಖಿತ
ದಿನಾಂಕ ೩೦-೩-೧೮೯೮

26-4-98

Petition No: 4328 of 1892

Appellant.

Shambhoj Subbanna
of Beedanahalli Tarbe
Heggadevanakote Taluq

Case under Rule 1 cl (1)
of the Addl Rules.

On 28th October 1891
a Kaji-kabul-nama was
presented to the Jaluli
Kacheri for the transfer
of survey no: 30, extent
6 acres 10 guntas, Assess-
ment Rs: 5"8"0 from the
khate of Kempa bin
Maruga to that of Patel
Basve Gauda. It trans-
pired that the same
by the khatedar Kempa
who had died about
1½ years previous to
the date of the Kaji-kabul
was supposed to have been
marked by Kempa and
bears the attestation of
Nagappa & another

Shambhoj

Appeal to 2nd Class
Fo Mysore
17/1/92

Appeal to 2nd Class
Fo Mysore
17/1/92

Appeal to 2nd Class
Fo Mysore
17/1/92

Mysore

No. The Dy Com modified the order & directed it was better to suspend the patel also & proceed de novo

Petition

I moved Rao
the following endorsement
No hearing seems
necessary. ——

"You declare
to enforce with the
order of the Dy Comr." ——

22/8/92

X of the Nidagundu
Village

Appellant

Shambhoj Subbanna
of Beedanahalli Tarbe
Heggadevanakote Taluq

Petition No: 4328 of 1892

Case under Rule 1 cl (1)
of the Addl Rules.

On 28th October 1891
a Kaji-kabul-nama was
presented to the Jaluli
Kacheri for the transfer
of survey no: 30, extent
6 acres 10 guntas, Assess-
ment Rs: 5"8"0 from the
khate of Kempa bin
Maruga to that of Patel
Basve Gauda. It trans-
pired that the same
by the khatedar Kempa
who had died about
1½ years previous to
the date of the Kaji-kabul
was supposed to have been
marked by Kempa and
bears the attestation of
Nagappa & another

Shambhoj

Yes. Note
& date
for hearing
Hd
30/8/92

Subbarayan. Patel Basave
Gauda accepts the transfer
under his signature,
which is also attested by
the witness named above.
The Talati thereafter
writes a remark on the
back of the Kaji-Kabulnama
to the effect that the parties
appeared before him and
agreed to the transfer.
This remark is marked
and initialled for as the
mark of Kempa, and
signed by Patel Basve Gauda.
The Talati thereafter sanc-
tioned the transfer of the
Khata with effect from
1891-92. and directed that
due intimation should
be given to the Shanbhog.
All this took place on
the 28th: October 1891, on
which date another
Kaji-Kabul-nama for
the transfer of Sy. No. 44
of the same village from
the Khata of Kempabasve
Gauda to that of Patel
Basvé Gauda was also
similarly presented and
approved.

On 8th: Dec.: 1891
Kempa's widow Maadi
& his brother Honnama
complained to the Amildar
that the Patel, the Shanbhog

2

and another Rajput had forged the Rajpramna in the name of her deceased husband Kempa. The Bhildars held an enquiry and submitted the Proc: & the Dysnd: with the opinion that as it had been shewn that the Patel had been in possession of the land for the previous 2 or 3 years & paid the Kandayeen, and as the Rajpramna had been prevented by one of the heirs of the deceased, no Criminal action could be taken and proved satisfactorily against the Patel. The Bhildars recommended that the Manbhoj should be dismissed & the Patel whose Conduct was also suspicious should be fined Rs 20/

(1). 1st Feby: 1892

Mr Dysnd: Ordered at first that the Manbhoj should be suspended for 10 years and the Patel prosecuted for abetment of forgery of a false documents, but (2) afterwards the suspension of the Patel also for 10 years. And the transfer of the khate was Cancelled.

(2) 3d Feby: 1892.

Ramchoy Subbarao
appeals against the
above order suspending
him for 10 years. He
contends
(1). that he wrote the
Raji-Kabuli required
as required by the Patel
and was not aware
that Kempa was dead.
(2) That he would have
certified to this Raji-
Kabuli as was done
in the case of others
if he had written it
of his own accord.
(3) That he was new
to the work, having
taken it up about
1½ years ago.
(4). that he told the
Patel to bring the
bringdar to (unable
read (Appellant) to
make the usual
Certificate and that
the Patel presented the
Raji-Kabuli directly
without the Certificate.
(5). The Dy Com. states
that there is not clear
evidence to prove
the guilt of the Appellant
& yet he is suspended.
(6) The Patel is the party
benefiting by the Raji-
Kabuli

Patel Basawa Sanda states
that the Shanbhog advised
him to get the "Shikmi"
Patta transferred to his
(Patel's name), that he
agreed to do so, that the
Shanbhog (Appellant)
took out X the Rayi Kabuli
- nama, and asked him
to sign them saying that
they were concerning Dasa-
- Shetti land and another
land standing in the name
of the Patel's son, and that
believing the Shanbhog
he signed the Kabulnama
The Patel further says that
he purchased the land
in question from the Khot-
- Das brothers Nalla & Naida
in 1888. & has been in
possession since then,
and that he has not
obtained receipts for having
paid the Kandayam;
that Kempa died about
1½ years ago & that he did
not bring the matter to the
notice of the Inlet authori-
- ties as it was not his duty.

X of his own accord

Subba Rao, one of the attesting
witnesses states that he attested

the Raja name on the
assignment of the Patel
that the ~~thumbprint~~ Karnidar
was seated outside.

Nagaiya denies having
written for the mark of
Kempa but says that
is required by the Shan-
bhog he got a paper
signed by one Ramaya
who was seated outside
the Kacheri and attested
it as a witness.

Kempa's brother Ramaya
states that he touched
the pen as required by
the Shanbhog at the Kacheri
that his brother died
about 1½ years ago, that
the land ~~is~~ has been in
the Patel's possession for
the past 2 or 3 years &
that he does not know
how the Patel got it.

The 4 witnesses examined
by the Complainant Mandi
& another Bobbe Gauda state
that Kempa died 1½ years
ago & that he cultivates
the land till his death.

In the place of the Appellant
one Ramegowda has been
appointed Shanbhog. In

Govt Res. No. 10-SS dated
15 Dec. 1892 of which ordered that Rama-
-kindappa's Claim who
was Shanbhog formerly
would be considered when
a vacancy occurred.

The Dy Com's order appealed
against is recorded in
English at foot of the Revis
among the Vernacular
papers

H
15/8/92

Madi has petitioned
this Office that notice of
hearing may be given
to her also, that the
punishment as regards
the Patel is inadequate,
& that she would be
represented by a
Counsel.

H
15/8/92

P.C. 2438 / 1892.

1st. Skandhay Subhanna
by 2nd. Srinivasayya.

1st Sept. 1. Madi
2. Kesava

Notice not returned yet.
Ch. 8/4.
Rec'd the 5th &
Pd.

20-4-93.
1st by 2nd. Srinivasayya
1st Sept. by 2nd. Venkatramia

Petition properly stamped.

This is a Counter-petition submitted in the Council with Appeal Case No. 4328

29/4/92

Vide Order on 4328

3/5/92

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 .

No. 4/83

Name of Petitioner. Hirali wife of [illegible]

Village. Nilavagilu village

Taluk. Nagamangala

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 5/3/92

Date of Receipt. 14/3/92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

[illegible] husband's [illegible] is in her enjoyment, that her husband died 2 years ago, and that this fact is borne out by the Register of Births & Deaths. That making out the [illegible] said land in the name of Petitioner, Thimbegowda [illegible] mahazar &c &c &c, as if the deceased Kempa had transferred the land [illegible]

[illegible] auditors signed
[illegible] said Exhibit. The so called
transfer was entered in the
Commissioners Journal of the proceedings
of said Court, for the year [illegible]
[illegible] on [illegible] page [illegible] Exhibit
Lindi, copies Exhibits A. B. C.
& Testimony, respecting
the forgery committed upon
Exhibit A the Plaintiffs, and
the correspondence,
other has been received from
[illegible] by: Comm[illegible] the application
[illegible] Exhibit A the Plaintiffs Exhibits
have been examined, pre-
sented and [illegible] pre-
sent they have been com-
pared. [illegible] Nov
Exhibit A the Plaintiffs have
received the office, stamp
that they are genuine. Peter
Lindi that he did [illegible]
the same may be instructed
[illegible] Lindi as they [illegible]
present at the time with [illegible]
Lindi per to represent them.
[illegible]

means of stirring:

The following facts will prove that this Machine is a mechanical character:-

For complaining against Manufacturing Exchange, this Defendant was fined Ten Pounds Common Fine, and Arrested Thomas Hall, the Patentee fined Ten Pounds for selling Condemned, in Another Thousand Pounds, on the Complaint of Robert Sullivan, who the Patentee unauthorizedly brought some Land under Cultivation, a fine of £5. was received from the Patentee for order of the Court. The Defendant was fined a thousand

for 1 year is not a sufficient punishment.
He is frightening Peter by saying that unless he pays Paul cannot depend upon his help.

Perjure, words may be sent for from the Talmud and Justice done to them (Ezra).

13

(1) Petition Insufficiently stamped

(2) Copy of Order appealed against - produced.

(3). Appeal in time

(4) Rule 1 cl(c) of the Appl: Rules

K 29/4/92

Submitted to Hon Council - with Counter-petition No: 4183

(General Serial No. 32.)

189

No.	4208
Name of Petitioner.	Subbiamma [illegible] Chandrappa
~~Village.~~	Veerannahalli
Taluk.	Heggadadevankote
District.	Mysore
Date of Arzi.	24.3.92
Date of Receipt.	24.3.92
Date of Disposal.	
No. of Enclosures.	One

Case for Brf: with a/cees

[illegible]

K 3/5/92

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against [illegible] Order No. [illegible] suspending [illegible] on the complaint of Siddi Nattu of Nilagiri village

1. It will be seen from the depositions of the witnesses that the boy Kariyanna prepared in the name of the deceased Kempaiya advantageous to the 1st and not to Petitioner.

2. [illegible]

to any knowledge of
important. If he had
given it his attention within the
time according to the direc-
tions of this memorandum he
would have attached his cer-
tificate to it in case of his
having remained ~~in~~ pre-
sent at the same time.
It will be seen from the
above direction that it is
not necessary for any person
in question in future for no
certification received from
the Patent.
4. The remarks of the Secretary
of the Navy, Commander will
doubtless, that himself
presented it without a certi-
ficate.
5. If it is transferred
prior and a new grant issued
to the assignee the
[illegible] to
[illegible]

6. Concerning the fourteenth article, that Peter got the Egyptian examination written by the Pet, and before certifying it. Ethiopian the, that to bring the Egyptian.

But afraid that the, that state of things would come to light, the Fatal, instead Acting as ~~director~~, persuaded, prevented the Egyptian Regimen of the Study Catalog, and in this deceitful act, he threatened them or Peter as will be seen from the, record of the case of the depositions of the witnesses.

7. That the eighteenth Opinion that the charge against Peter could not be proved, got the mind of the Examination for days.

8. That Peter unjustly Peter stays that the case

maybe retained in office after a perusal of the merits of the case.

Ry. Comm. (Feb. 1st 1911.)

Sabbaroya was impelled by any bad motive in the case, he is persistently punished for 10 years, and therefore on Sabbaroya application

Mr. Agnew of the Salvage and Subbaroya was engaged at Chittoor Chittira Temple 2 years ago; and he, Mr. Agnew admits the fact, he produced a false inspection the month
on the Dharmaraja Subbaroya that he said Chittira Temple was sitting out-side. He then appeared to have been helpful in the matter of the case

Accordingly dismissed. Mr. Smith reconsidered the criminality proceeded, but for the present he also suspended for 10 years. Should Mr. Agnew not relent it the first should recommend for retirement.

Relieving Inspector in question is cancelled

Two one Rupee Stamps

DISTRICT DEMAND OFFICE
DISTRICT PANCHAYAT
Regd. No. 4325/92
DATE 21-3-92

Typical.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಸ್‌ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂ ಸಿಆರ್ ೧೦೬/೨೦೧೨

ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸಚಿವರ ಆಪ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಡಾ. ಬಿ. ಆರ್. ಅಂಬೇಡ್ಕರ್ ವೀಧಿ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ೫೬೦೦೦೧
ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೬.೩.೨೦೧೨

ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸಚಿವರ ಆಪ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ
೨೬-೩-೧೨

ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸಚಿವರ ಆಪ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಸ್‌ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂ ಸಿಆರ್ ೧೦೬/೨೦೧೨

ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸಚಿವರ ಆಪ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಡಾ. ಬಿ. ಆರ್. ಅಂಬೇಡ್ಕರ್ ವೀಧಿ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ೫೬೦೦೦೧
ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೬.೩.೨೦೧೨

ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸಚಿವರ ಆಪ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ
೨೬-೩-೧೨

(Sd) A. Ramaswamy Iyengar
Deputy Commr

(Copy)

To Ramanuja Sheristadar
Mysore Bench

Examined
11/6

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಸನ್ 189 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರ್

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಯಲ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸೈಯಂಗಾರ್ಯರವರಿಗೆ–

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಬರಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮೆ ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ನಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಸೈಕಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗುವ್ಯು ಮತ್ತು ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ತೆಗದು ಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸದರಿ ತಮಗೆಕಛಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವುನನಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಮುಂದ್ಬ ಆದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು ೪/ ನೇ ಫೆಬ್ರುವರಿ
ಸನ್ 189 ೭೨ನೇ ಇಸವಿ.

ರುಜು

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮ್ಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವು ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರವಹಿಸ್ತವು ನನ್ನ ಸಮುಕ್ಷದು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜುಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ವಿಸಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Re

No 79. cont issued 13/5

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 4183

dated 8/3/92 received at the

Dewan's Office from Ammi, wife of [illegible]

Pallegai Chikka Krupa [illegible]

brotherinlaw Kamma of [illegible]

vaigla village, Hagga[illegible]

Kolar Taluk, complaining against

[illegible] Bacame [illegible] of the

said village

is forwarded, ~~together with~~ its

~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr's

Mysore [illegible], for submission

[illegible] with a ~~[illegible]~~

[illegible] to the case and on the

[illegible] in the

[illegible]

By Order,

[illegible]

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
1892.

N

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3038
11 JUN. 92
P. 52

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore

Dated 9 June 1892

Despatched 10 do -

Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 251
No

His official Memoranda Nos. 79 & 81 dated 7 Ultimo forwarding for submission of proceedings petitions from Madi widow of Balagai Chikka of Hilavanjli village and Loobbanna Shanbogue of Beechanahalli Hobli respectively Heggadadevanakote Taluq.

With reference to the above has the honor to submit herewith the proceedings called for in the above.

The English precis appended to the records fully explains the circumstances of the case.

The petitions received with

Pen Branch Bazaar 11/6

Submitted for Council with Memo & Copy

11

15/8/92

L R 203-11

A1
13-6-92

the memoranda under reply are also herewith submitted

Dy commissioner
Impost District

Compd.
tdy.

MM

Minutes of the Council with the Resp of the Case

K
15/8/92

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892. 3

No. 850

Name of Petitioner. Madi & Kenanna

Village. Nilvagal

Taluk. Hegge devankote

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 13th June 1892

Date of Receipt. 15-6-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Prays that the appeal filed by them against the decision of the Honble Commr in re the Patelship of the village, may be heard & disposed of in their presence

S. Rep
8/7/92

3501

15-6-92

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 2.

No. 552

Name of Petitioner. Subbarama Shanbog

Village. Seeramakaie

Taluk. Hegge Devanhole

District. Mangalore.

Date of Arzi. 28-8-92

Date of Receipt. 1-9-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

State, that on receipt of his appeal against his suspension by the Commr, he sent an endorsement to each of the persons concerned to show cause within a month from the date of endorsement. Prays for early disposal of the case.

S Rly

3/9/92

To Govt. Mr Council in Confirmation

RO

Y

Rly

5620
1-9-92

Allotment Book
Allotment No
1/1/92

594

6-1-92 ದಿನಾಂಕ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 1 ಮತ್ತು 2 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳು ಬಾಕಿ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ

ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ

35

(General Serial No. 32.)

1897. 3.

No. 1886

Name of Petitioner. Southanna Shanbhoge

Village. Bachanahalli

Taluk. Nagamangala

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 20-1-93

Date of Receipt. 23-1-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Appeal No. 203 of 1891-92 has been heard in the above Court. Perused that Court's decree dated 2nd [illegible] of Subordinate Judge & the appeal dismissed with [illegible]

J.K.

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

1886R

23-1-93

Board

20800/80
4-5-93

Recd in Mail Room
Interior Dept
April 1893.

4-5

No 4328-
203

Entered and recorded in Savannah, Georgia, Registered Land Book, in pursuance of this Patent Reg. No. 7328 Folio 203 2nd March 1892 whereby granted the within named to the Right Honorable Baron of Alpore Bayswater his heirs for ten years.

Petitioner is informed that Government decline to interfere with the rule of the Department.

By Order

18076
Ref: H 282-92

Mail
Chief Clerk 1/5

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Right Honorable Baron Bayswater with reference to his letter of the 25th ult.

The papers sent herewith from the Department herein are returned.

By Order

Mail
Chief Clerk 1/5

25/4

[illegible]

References
HK
4/9/97.

To Vernacular Records for references.
Sig. R
2/9/97

1893 Receipt No. 1 Year 1896 } Home
P.F. 282-92
6
4-9-97

P.F. No 282 of 92 for the year
Sig. 4/9/97
4/9/97.
Submitted
Read 6/9/97
HK 6/9/97
Stamp expired

The facts state that notice years suspension 5 years have already elapsed and pray that the remaining period may be remitted in honor of the Commemoration of the Diamond Jubilee of Her Majesty the Empress of India and Queen of England

HK

The facts relating to pet's suspension are given in the memo. Pet was a party to an audacious forgery of a ~~rowanama~~ Kaul ~~deed~~ of ~~transfer~~ of Land of a deceased person to the patel. The DC Comr did not consider it advisable to prosecute the patel & Shamboga criminally but suspended them for 10 yrs each. Pet & Shamboga now pray for a remission of the unexpired period of suspension. The Diamond Jubilee both pets refer has come & gone & there is no precedent for such a concession being shown on account of the Jubilee. ~~Boards~~ Boards were

22 Addressed to H. H. the Maharaja

1897

No. 614

Name of Petitioner Subbanna
Shanbhog of

Village Beechanahalli (Mysore)

Taluk Heggadadevanakote.

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 15-6-'97

Date of Receipt 27-8-'97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

With reference to the DC Comr's order No. S-30 of 3-2-97 suspending him for a period of 10 years, and also to this office ~~Order~~ No. 4328/203 of 4-5-97 confirming the DC Comr's said order, states that he has already ~~enjoyed~~ suffered 5 years' suspension and prays that he may be remitted the remaining period of suspension in the event of H. H. Diamond Jubilee.

HK 1/9/97

No. 614

Received from P. Lingamurthy Rao Esq. Rs. 24 for 23-8-97.

23/8/97

15/9/97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition Registered No. 614 dated 15-6-97 received at the Dewan's Office from Subbama Shanbhog of Begadahalli Taluka, a petition praying for remission of the unexpired period of his suspension 3 years.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy Com Mysore Dist & report following points:-
(1) How old is the P
(2) For how long had he an officiating Shanbhogship before the order of suspension was passed?
2. The petition should be returned.

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
13 Sept 1897

13/9/97

4009
Pet 295
189 7. 15

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 30
Despatched 3 Nov: 1897
Received

General No. 6447
No.

Enclosure No. Three

Submits correspondence in connection with the petition of Subbamma [illegible] praying that Rs [illegible] may be paid to her [illegible]

Prpn 4/12
3-12-97

7282-92 on the subject of grant of pt

Submitted
BK
6/12/97

6/12/97

Pet[r] was suspended for
2 years by the Asst Comr on 18[illegible].
2 years by the Asst Comr on 18[illegible].
Pet[r] is about 2 years
after he began to officiate
As per [illegible] he was about 42 years
old now, he must have been
37 years when he was suspended

7/12/97

RD 7 Pm
7.12.97

Who has got
the prospection
of the land which
formerly was in
name of the
Nagar Kalubnama?
Has the Collector
kept it, or
does Madhe still
hold it?
Ascertain

9/12/97

Dept Sec
13/12/97

No. 349
Enclosure No. 3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Nov 30 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith a memorandum No. 2847 dated 13th September, 1897, forwarding for report a petition from Sub'dar Sheik Hazi Adam Sahib Chauhilly, for the Hazi Adam Sahib Talook, upon under Suspension, praying for the remission of the unexpired period of his Suspension viz 5 years, I have the honor to state that the petitioner is now 1, 2 years old, and that he has been dismissed from the 29th January 1890, before the order of Suspension was passed as will be seen from the accompanying Correspondence, ending with the Assistant Commissioners Letter No. 2239, dated 15th instant, on the subject. The petition received with the memorandum is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Compared.

189 7 / 1?

From

The Secretary to Govt
Genl and Rev Depts

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dt

Dated 15th

Despatched 16th Dec/97

Received

General No. 5242
Jud No. 282-97 (220)

Enclosure No.

The Dy. Commr's letter No.
645 dated 30-11-97 reporting
~~about the~~ about the suspension of
Subbanna Chambers of
Beechanahally pitla, Hoggade
devenkote Taluk.

With reference to the
above, I have the honor to
request that he will be
lagged as to enable a
further report as to who
has got the possession of
the land S. No. 30 which
formed the subject-matter
of the Rajee Kabul nama
presented on the 2-12-97.

regarding Patel Basanna
a) whether the Patel
got possession with or
of Madras still holds.

2. the petition and
the papers received with
the above are returned
for reference and necessary action

By order

C2

for Secretary

13/12/47

6492

1897-98.

Pet 475

From

The Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 4
Despatched } March 1898.
Received

Enclosures No. Petition
Cir. 6.14 and
4 papers.

General No. 1036.

Submitting further
report regarding the pe-
tition of Subbamma,
Thambiah & Deekshama
Kalli Pitia, Aggadeva
nakote taluk.

Petitioner Subbamma, Thambiah & Deekshama Kalli Pitia, Aggadevanakote taluk, having been suspended by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District on the 4th March 1892 for having been a party to a forged razeenama alleged to have been executed by a deceased khatedar, who had been appointed as Shanbogue for 2 years at the time of his suspension from the land which was the subject of the said razeenama to be in the possession of the patel — in whose behalf the said razeenama was forged. Petitioner has been about 42 years old & has been kept under suspension for 5 years.

Recd 5th Commr
4 12/3/98

The Case for the Govt Commr's opinion regarding the merits of the Petr

Recd
14.3.98

Rocket
My 16/3/98
17.3.98

Petr 4th
8.3.98

D.T. 282 of 92 on the subject of petitioner

Sub
10/3/98

My
11.3.98

1192
7-98.

110

inner

though Pradan is the Palladar
of the land, the latter having
been transferred to his name in
the year Vijaya (1893-94) on the
death of her husband Kempé, ser. 345.
the previous Palladar.

3. (Basave Gowda states that
he purchased the land from
Chowda and Gala, brothers
of the deceased Kempé and
that the same had fallen to their
share and was in their enjoyment
before it was sold to him.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

(Signature illegible)
Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

Mysore District.

ment of Mysore,
venue Departments.

No. Petition
Enc. 6:4 and
4 papers.
1036.

further
the pe-
ance and,
Declaration
aggrieve-

In Subject as pertain

Compd.
VLL

1056

Enclosures No. Petition + 3 papers. 3

OFFICE OF THE Asstt Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Nagara 4th March 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Referring to your circular No
5212-52 dated 16th December 1897 calling
for further report regarding the
petition of Subbanna, Shanbhog
of Beechonahalli Pattu, Hg:
Gedevanekote Taluk, praying for
the remission of the enhanced kist
of his enfranchised Inam Land, I have the honor
to submit herewith the Amildar's
Enquiry Report dated 16th February 1898,
with its enclosures on the subject.

2. It will be observed from the
same that the Land Survey No 30
which formed the subject matter
of the Rajas Kaluthroma referred
to is in the possession of Patel Bavare
Gowda and that he has been
paying the assessment thereon

Through

1898

From

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue & Agriculture Depts

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dt.

Dated 18th

Despatched 19th

Received 20th Mar 98

General No. 3790.

P.F. No. 182-92

Enclosure No.

The Dy. Comrs letter No 1036 dated 16th March 98, submitting a petition report on the petn of Subbanna Shanbhag of Beechanahally pitha in the Hegadedevanakote Talukpra.

In returning the papers received with the above for reference and resubmission, I am directed to request the Dy Comr to be so good as to submit his opinion regarding the prayer of the petn for remission of the unexpired period of his suspension from the Shanbhagi office.

By order

for Secretary.

C 2

17.3.98

+ 2 Copies 98
19-3-98

2171

Pet 570 1897-98.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district
~~Mysore District.~~

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.
Bangalore

Dated 30th
Despatched } March 1898
Received

Enclosures No. Petition
No. 6 with
4 papers,

General No. 1137.

Recommends the remission of the unexpired period of suspension from office of Sub Cutcherry Shanbogue of Beechonhully for the term Nanjundiah Talor R

Petition
4-4-98

Pet 282/98 on the subject is put up.

Ak
7/4/98

Submd
6/4/98 MG

The Govt recommend the remission of the unexpired period of suspension of Nanjundiah

Submd 6/4/98

Govt cannot interfere

Ans

20/4/98

Submitted
21/4/98

Submitted
21-4-98

No. 1137

Enclosures No. 5.

OFFICE OF THE Dy Commr &c
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore March 30 1893.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your doc Ref No 879 - 28-2-93 dated 18th inst, Calling for my opinion regarding the petition of Subbanna Shanbhague of Kuchanhully for Rs in the Kyggeddaen hobli taluk, praying for remission of the unexpired period of his suspension from office for a few years, I have the honor to state that the petitioner seems to have been suspected by my predecessor Mr. J. Lang as Swamy Rau Cor, for having forged a Razinama in the name of a deceased individual (Kempa) in respect of a piece of land of which he, (Kempa), was the puttadar. The offence is no doubt one of a serious nature, but the evidence adduced in the case being considered insufficient to admit of a successful Criminal procedure now against the petitioner, he was dealt with departmentally. —

2. He has been under suspension for nearly six years, and he prays for remission of the remaining

period

period. The petitioner's prayer may under the above circumstances be granted as a special act of grace with a strict warning against the repetition of similar conduct in future.

3 The enclosures received with your docket under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

your most obedt. Servt

[illegible]

for Deputy Commissr.

Mysore Distt.

Compare
[illegible]